

CORRECTION

Open Access



# Correction to: Prospective trial examining safety and efficacy of microcurrent stimulation for the treatment of sinus pain and congestion

Alan B. Goldsobel<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Niveditha Prabhakar<sup>2</sup> and Blake T. Gurfein<sup>3,4\*</sup>

**Correction to: *Bioelectronic Med* (2019) 5:18**

<https://doi.org/10.1186/s42234-019-0035-x>

The original version of this article (Goldsobel et al. 2019), published on 20 November 2019, contained incorrect data. In this Correction the affected parts of the article are shown.

Figure 4 contained incorrect data. The correct number is marked bold and shown below:

Daily microcurrent treatment reduces sinonasal congestion over 4 weeks. Sinonasal congestion was assessed weekly using the Congestion Quantifier 7 (CQ7) instrument. Subjects that enrolled with moderate or worse congestion (CQ7 > 15,  $N = 24$ ) exhibited significant reductions in congestion symptoms, compared to pretreatment, at all time points measured (a). Mean difference in CQ7 score from before treatment peaked at -8.8 (-45.0%) points at week four (b). Data represented as mean  $\pm$  SEM. \*\*\*\* $p < 0.0001$ , paired, two-sided t-test

Table 2 contained incorrect data. The correct data is shown below:

For  $N = 24$  subjects with CQ7 > 15 below are the corrected results:

Mean CQ7 Score: Enrollment, 19.8; Week 1, 15.4; Week 2, 13.7; Week 3, 12.4; Week 4, 11.0

Difference in CQ7 from Enrollment: Week 1, -4.4 (CI95 -2.6 to -6.2); Week 2, -6.3 (CI95 -4.2 to -8.4); Week 3, -7.4 (CI95 -4.8 to -10.1); Week 4, -8.8 (CI95 -6.5 to -11.1)

Percentage Decrease in CQ7 from Enrollment: Week 1, -23.0%; Week 2, -32.8%; Week 3, -38.1%; Week 4, -45.0%

Statistics remain unchanged at  $P < 0.0001$  for all data points.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Allergy and Asthma Associates of Santa Clara Valley Research Center, San Jose, CA, USA. <sup>2</sup>Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA, USA. <sup>3</sup>University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA. <sup>4</sup>Tivic Health Systems, Inc., 750 Menlo Ave #200, Menlo Park, CA 94025, USA.

Published online: 30 January 2020

#### Reference

Goldsobel AB, et al. Prospective trial examining safety and efficacy of microcurrent stimulation for the treatment of sinus pain and congestion. *Bioelectronic Med.* 2019;5:18. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s42234-019-0035-x>.

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s42234-019-0035-x>

\* Correspondence: [Blake.Gurfein@TivicHealth.com](mailto:Blake.Gurfein@TivicHealth.com)

<sup>3</sup>University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

<sup>4</sup>Tivic Health Systems, Inc., 750 Menlo Ave #200, Menlo Park, CA 94025, USA

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s). 2020 **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.